


60.298-512; ST 267

**REMARKS**

On April 27, 2004, Examiner Trieu telephoned applicant's representative and asked applicant's representative to respond to the drawing objections she had included in the last office action. Upon further review of the application, it appears that the issues raised in the drawing objections are better dealt with by the amendment to the specification and by clarifying a potentially confusing aspect of the original specification and the original claims. The "first valve" and "second valve" were different between the specification and claims. Thus, applicant has now amended the claims such that all instances of "first" and "second" valves have been switched. It is believed that with these changes, the examiner's objections to the drawings are overcome, and the application is perhaps clearer.

Applicant believes this application is now in condition for allowance. An indication of such is requested.


Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Theodore W. Olds, Reg. No. 33,080  
Carlson, Gaskey & Olds, PC  
400 W. Maple Rd., Ste. 350  
Birmingham, MI 48009  
(248) 988-8360Dated: April 28, 2004

60,298-512; ST 267

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States patent and Trademark Office, fax number (703) 872-9306, on April 28, 2004.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Laura Combs

N:\Clients\SCROLL TECH\00512\PATENT\Amendment 4-28-04.doc